REVIEW

Anencephaly in Slovakia and Czech Republic: embryogenesis, risk factors, epidemiology and preventative approaches

Vanda RISOVA¹, Jan MIERTUS², Petra OTAPKOVA³, Livia GAJDOSOVA⁴, Vladimir JAKUS⁴, Stefan POLAK⁵

Institute of Histology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia, **vanda.risova@fmed.uniba.sk**

Abstract

Anencephaly, a fatal anomaly of the central nervous system, belongs to the group of defects of the neural tube (NTDs). It is considered the most common congenital NTD, characterized by concurrent absence of a significant portion of the brain and cranial vault. This deformity occurs between days 23 and 26 after fertilization due to improper closure of the neural tube at its cranial end. Many genetic, epigenetic, and nongenetic factors (nutritional, environmental and geographical factors, parental socioeconomic status) contribute to the etiology of this disease. Despite significant advances in treatment and preventive measures, NTDs continue to pose a significant health and financial burden on patients and society as a whole. This study aimed to examine the incidence of anencephaly in Slovakia compared to the Czech Republic between 2012 and 2020. The authors seek to elucidate the reasons behind the higher incidence of this disease in Slovakia as compared to the Czech Republic, explore the male predominance of anencephaly in Slovakia, and investigate whether the prevention standards used in Slovakia differ from those employed in other countries *(Tab. 1, Fig. 2, Ref. 129)*. Text in PDF www.elis.sk

KEY WORDS: neural tube defects, anencephaly, risk factors, folic acid, food fortification

Introduction

Congenital anomalies are defined as the presence of one or more defects in the development of individual organs. They occur during embryonic and fetal phases of development as a result of maternal exposure to environmental factors or genetic mutations. Primary birth defects arise from genetic errors in the developmental process, while secondary malformations occur when environmental factors disrupt the normal intrauterine developmental process. They can be caused by substances such as drugs, alcohol, and smoking or exogenous influences such as temperature or environmental pollutants. These substances have the potential to disrupt the placental barrier and induce changes in fetal development. NTDs are congenital anomalies resulting from abnormal development of the central nervous system (CNS), encompassing a range

Address for correspondence: Vanda RISOVA, MD, PhD, Institute of Histology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Sasinkova 4, SK-811 08 Bratislava, Slovakia. Phone: +421259357540

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the grant of EU program of Cross-border cooperation Interreg V-A Slovak Republic-Austria V014-NutriAging.

of malformations such as spina bifida, encephalocele, anencephaly, and many others. Despite the increasing availability of improved diagnostic and therapeutic techniques for the prevention, management, and treatment of congenital malformations, these diseases remain a significant problem in the contemporary world.

Embryogenesis

NTDs stand out as the most common congenital malformations in humans, originating during embryonic development and potentially affert the quality of life from birth. Despite ongoing efforts, the pathogenesis of NTDs remains elusive. Current studies suggest that genetic, epigenetic, and non-genetic factors likely contribute to this anomaly (1). Gaining a thorough understanding of the mechanisms involved in neural tube closure is essential for pinpointing the exact causes of NTDs (2, 3).

Neural tube development is a multistep process regulated by genes and influenced by multiple environmental factors. This process involves an interplay of gene-gene, gene-environment, and gene-nutrient interactions (4). In vertebrate embryos, two types of neuroepithelial cell division occur: apicobasal and planar. The development of cell polarity is crucial in the process of neurulation because it is involved in the closure of the neural tube (5). Neurulation is a mechanical process that takes place during early embryogenesis of the fetus. Its goal is the formation of the neural tube, which is the precursor of the brain and spinal cord (6). Neurulation, as a series of systematic, morphological, and structural changes, begins with the formation of the notochord, which induces

¹Institute of Histology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia, ²Magiś Lab SRL, Rovereto (TN), Italy, ³2nd Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital, Bratislava, Slovakia, ⁴Institute of Medical Chemistry, Biochemistry and Clinical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia, and ⁵Institute of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

differentiation of ectoderm to neuroectoderm. The dorsal thickening of embryonal ectoderm gives rise to the formation of the neural plate, a flat and open neural epithelium that deepens into the neural groove. The edges of the neural plate are raised, neural folds are formed at its lateral ends and the neural groove is formed in the midline (7). The two neural folds continue to mutually approach each other until they finally fuse in the midline, firstly in the cervical region, near the 5th somite, then the fusion continues both cranially and caudally until the neural tube is open at two points only. At the cranial end, this place is referred to as *neuroporus anterior*, and at the caudal end as *neuroporus posterior* (8, 9). The fusion of the neural folds leads to the formation of the neural tube, the development of which is completed in the first month of pregnancy. The period between days 23 and 26 of pregnancy is the phase when the closure of the neural tube becomes complete, and its lumen transforms into the neural canal (9, 10). The cephalic and caudal open ends of the neural tube communicate with the amniotic cavity through the cranial and caudal neuropores. The cranial neuropore closes at the 18–20-somite stage (approximately on day 25) and the posterior neuropore closes around two days later, at the 25-somite stage (i.e., on day 27) (11). Failure to close the neural tube at its cranial end in the region of *neuroporus anterior* results in anencephaly, while failure to close the caudal end in the region of *neuroporus posterior* results in spina bifida (12, 13). These conditions may occur due to impaired cell fate, cell adhesion, and neural tube closure mechanisms (9). Anencephaly is always associated with acrania, and the absence of the calvaria leads to degeneration of the brain, whilst the brainstem remains intact (8, 9). Furthermore, abnormal brain structure and vascularization contribute to the destructive process characterized by

angiogenesis. At the macroscopic level, the remaining part of the brain has a mushroom-like appearance (9).

Clinical and morphological features

The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke explains the symptoms of this diagnosis as follows: a child born with anencephaly is usually deaf, blind, does not perceive their surroundings, and is unable to feel pain. Reflex responses such as breathing and responses to sound or touch may occur (14, 15). Due to the presence of the brainstem, children with anencephaly have almost all of the primitive reflexes of a newborn, respond to auditory, vestibular, and painful stimuli, have spontaneous limb movements, and the pupils can react to light (15–17). This means that the child can move, smile, suck, and breathe without the help of devices (18). Since the fetus cannot swallow, a hydramnion is formed (19).

Clinical signs of anencephaly can vary depending on the severity of the disorder and the presence of other birth defects (Fig. 1). Common symptoms of anencephaly include an absence of scalp and skull in the head region, absence of brain tissue, with the brainstem and cerebellum present but not the cerebrum. Other notable features include microcephaly, abnormal facial features such as a flattened nose, low-set ears and eyes, small jaw, abnormal head shape (e.g., pointed head), abnormally shaped eyes, no nose or a small, abnormally shaped nose, abnormalities of the spine (e.g., scoliosis or kyphosis), chest and rib cage abnormalities, rib deformities, and abnormalities of the heart and other organs. In their study, Salari et al (20) claim that an anencephalic child has a frog-like appearance, bulging eyes, and a large tongue.

Fig. 1. Anencephalic male fetus. (a) front view (b) side view. Indicators of the anomaly are bulging eyes (described as frog eyes), short necks, and prominent ears. Abnormalities of the trunk and limbs are absent (Archive of the Institute of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava).

404–413

Diagnostics

Prenatal diagnosis comprises methods and procedures for detecting serious congenital developmental defects in the unborn individual early on. Adiverse range of presently available prenatal examinations and techniques includes both, the invasive methods such as amniocentesis, placental biopsy, chorionic villus biopsy, fetal blood sampling, and fetoscopy as well as non-invasive methods such as maternal blood sampling and ultrasonography (US).

Ultrasonography

Imaging diagnostics through ultrasound examination is the key method for identifying prenatal abnormalities of the CNS. Anencephaly can be detected by transvaginal ultrasound as early as during the first-trimester screening (typically between weeks 11 and 13 +6 days), as well as during the second and third trimesters (21, 22). If, during the ultrasound examination, an absence of the upper part of the cranial vault is observed along with an absence of brain tissue in the place of the cerebral hemispheres, the first suspicion of anencephaly arises (18, 23–26). In cases of anencephaly, the hemispheres of the brain are replaced by masses of connective and vascular tissue interspersed with neuroglial tissue, and disorganized choroid plexuses located at the base of the skull, referred to as cerebrovascular or angiomatous stroma. The "Mickey Mouse" sign refers to irregular floating masses located above the fetal orbital paths in a coronal view of the head, lacking any cranial structure above them (27). Another important feature of anencephaly detected via US is the presence of fibrous amniotic bands. Sepulveda et al (28) described the "Turkish turban" sign in a study using transvaginal and three-dimensional (3D) US imaging during the first trimester of pregnancy. This feature indicates the formation of an amniotic band around the outer base of the developing calvaria. As previously mentioned, anencephaly can be detected with 100% accuracy using the US during the second trimester. However, this diagnosis cannot be completed during the first trimester due to insufficient development of the corpus callosum and cerebellum, which can only be identified in the second trimester. Anencephaly describes a failure in the closure between the midbrain and the forebrain, while normal fusion is present in the cerebellum, pons, and medulla oblongata (29). The "frog's eye" indication shows the presence of orbital structures associated with abnormal cortical formation (27). Sonographic examination also helps in detecting polyhydramnios, a common feature of anencephaly. Excessive fluid behind the baby's neck and in the amniotic sac may indicate a potential chromosomal disorder or heart defect (30). Anencephaly is associated with abnormalities not only in the CNS but also in other organs and tissues. Alongside anencephaly, malformations such as cervical rachischisis (failure of fusion of the neural arches), cleft palate, heart and lung defects, talipes equinovarus, overlapping fingers, cradle legs, hexadactyly, and other musculoskeletal defects often occur. However, one umbilical artery can be identified as early as week 10 of pregnancy. Ossification can be detected at week 11 of pregnancy, typically affecting the frontal, parietal, and main parts of the occipital bones (31).

Karyotyping

Karyotyping is an older diagnostic method for anencephaly. It is based on staining the chromosomes with a dye and examining the structures of the chromosomes under a microscope. Scientists have discovered a link between anencephaly and the 13th chromosome. In this diagnosis, the genes responsible for the correct development of the brain are deleted and the remaining parts of the chromosome are tied at the ends, forming a circle (18).

Alpha-fetoprotein

The second important diagnostic marker is the determination of alpha-fetoprotein levels in the mother's serum in the second trimester (30, 32). This protein, whose peak levels occur at the end of the first trimester, is produced by the fetal liver and yolk sac. It enters the amniotic fluid through diffusion across the skin of the fetus and during fetal urination. Alpha-fetoprotein enters maternal circulation through diffusion across the placenta, and its high level in the mother's blood can signal the presence of NTDs.

Biopsy of chorionic villi, amniocentesis

Other diagnostic screening methods include chorionic villus biiopsy, which involves examining a small tissue sample from the placenta which is tested for genetic abnormalities. Amniocentesis is performed to determine the levels of fetal allantoic fluid proteins and acetylcholinesterase. Another examination considered when anencephaly is suspected involves measuring homocysteine levels in maternal plasma (30). In the postnatal period, the diagnosis is made through physical examination. All the following criteria are necessary for establishing a positive diagnosis: a large portion of the skull and scalp is absent, the cerebral hemispheres are missing, and the hemorrhagic fibrotic mass of degenerated brain tissue is exposed to the external environment (25). The orbits and eyes of anencephalic fetuses are well-developed. This malformation gives patients the frog-like appearance.

Risk factors

The pathogenesis and etiology of anencephaly have a multifactorial origin, indicating the involvement of several genes in the interaction with various factors such as environmental risk factors and maternal nutritional factors (33).

1) Non-genetic factors

These factors indirectly affect neural tube development by modulating gene functions (34).

A) Nutritional factors

Maternal nutrition plays an important role in the embryonic development of the fetus, which is associated with the observation that most congenital deformities occur predominantly in families with a lower socioeconomic status.

Folic acid (FA), vitamin B12

Low levels of the B-vitamin folate have been reported in fetuses with NTDs, prompting a clinical investigation into FA supplementation. Many studies have confirmed that FA supplementation before conception and during the first trimester of pregnancy can play an important role in the prevention of anencephaly (35, 36). Folate is a coenzyme that participates in the methylation process of homocysteine (Fig. 2). It also supports the synthesis of purines and pyrimidines. Lack of folate leads to the inability to make proteins and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) properly and also changes the expression of some genes (35). For these reasons, women of reproductive age are recommended to include a folate supplement in their diet (35, 37). The effective dose is 0.4–0.8 mg per day (38, 39). Some research has suggested that not only folates but also choline and vitamin B12 metabolism is involved in NTDs. It has been shown that reduced vitamin B12 content and increased total choline or homocysteine in the mother's blood are associated with an increased risk of NTDs (40).

Trace elements

Trace elements are microelements that a person needs in small quantities for proper functioning and development. Disruptions in the homeostasis of essential trace elements during pregnancy can lead to congenital developmental malformations (35). Higher levels of manganese in maternal blood during pregnancy increase the risk of NTDs (41). Also, low levels of selenium in the mother's plasma and serum have been implicated in the development of NTDs (42, 43). Alkaline earth metals such as barium, thorium, and cesium have also been linked to NTDs (44–46). The case studies of Abebe et al (47) conducted in northeastern Ethiopia confirmed that alcoholism, smoking, maternal infections during the first trimester of pregnancy, obesity, or caffeine can also act as risk factors contributing to the development of anencephaly.

B) Environmental factors

An environmental cause is any factor unrelated to genes that increases the probability of a birth defect in individuals who have been exposed. These factors comprise issues such as fetal infection, maternal illness, lack of nutrients, consuming drugs, exposure to hazardous chemicals, air pollution, radiation, and other external influences. It is worth noting that various

birth defects can be caused by a variety of risk factors.

Maternal hyperthermia

Due to factors such as fever, hot water baths, saunas or whirlpools, the mother's body temperature can rise as high as 40°C during pregnancy, which represents another risk factor for the development of NTDs (34). Many *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have demonstrated the neural tube's heightened sensitivity to elevated temperature, impacting various developmental processes such as cell differentiation, migration, apoptosis, and proliferation (33). Both teratogenic and mutagenic effects of hyperthermia have been proven through clinical cases

and animal studies (48–52). Many studies have confirmed that maternal exposure to hyperthermia during the first trimester can lead to improper closure of the cranial part of the neural tube. Hyperthermia can therefore be correlated with the development of anencephaly (53). In their study, Salih et al (51) proved that the combination of sauna, febrile illnesses, and hot tub usage during pregnancy increases the risk of developing NTDs up to 6 times.

Pesticides

To meet the rising demand for agricultural products, new procedures dependent on the use of pesticides are being introduced. Pesticides are non-biodegradable, and their residues can easily enter the human body through milk and meat of cattle, vegetables, fruits, and water, representing an increased risk for pregnant women. By accumulating in adipose tissue and the placenta, pesticides induce reproductive and developmental disorders with cancerous and teratogenic potentials (54–56). A 2001 study by Muñoz revealed that mothers exposed to pesticides such as methyl parathion during the first trimester of pregnancy were five times more likely to give birth to a child with anencephaly than mothers not exposed to this pesticide (18).

Arsenic (As)

Currently, there has been a notable increase in the worldwide use of As. On the one hand, As has found its application in the metalworking industry, pesticide production or coal combustion. On the other hand, the contamination of the air, water, and soil with inorganic As is on the rise. Among Europeans, the consumption of arsenic-contaminated food accounts for up to 95% of As absorption (57). The teratogenic and toxic effects of As pose the greatest risk for the development of NTDs by promoting the formation of reactive oxygen species while concurrently inhibiting antioxidant enzymes (58–60). As triggers epigenetic alteration and gene mutation, inhibits DNA methylation by reducing the activity of S-adenosylmethionine (61) and disrupts the microvascular structure of the placenta, which leads to impaired transport of nutrients and molecules (59–62).

Fig. 2. Folate metabolic pathway. FOLR1 – folate receptor 1; DHF – dihydrofolate; DHFR – dihydrofolate reductase; THF – tetrahydrofolate; 5,10-MeTHF – 5,10-methyleneTHF; dUMP – deoxyuridine monophosphate; dTMP – deoxythymidine monophospate; 5-MeTHF – 5-methyleneTHF; SAM – S-adenosylmethionine; SAH – S-adenosylhomocysteine.

404–413

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)

PAH are substances that result from anthropogenic activity, particularly from incomplete combustion of wood, oil, coal, and petrol (63). These environmental pollutants have adverse effects on human health, including an increased risk of NTDs. The mechanisms underpinning the association between PAH and NTDs are still not fully understood. It is hypothesized that one possible mechanism could involve reduced global DNA hypomethylation (64).

Antibiotics, anti-seizure drugs

Currently, several groups of drugs in medicine are known to potentially induce the development of defects in the human embryo or fetus, especially when used in the early stages of pregnancy (65, 66). Antibiotics prescribed for the treatment of urinary tract infections have been associated with NTDs (66). The use of sulfonamides, antibiotics given in the treatment of infections caused by gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, plasmodia, and toxoplasma, have teratogenic effects that can lead to the development of anencephaly (57). Antiepileptics represent another risk group potentially leading to NTDs, with valproate, carbamazepine, and phenytoin altering the absorption of folate, while consequently causing its deficiency in the blood (67).

Infections, metabolic diseases

Several other factors can negatively affect pregnancy, such as various infectious (viral, parasitic) and metabolic diseases (diabetes mellitus, obesity, thyreopathy) that can cause congenital developmental malformations (113).

2) Epigenetic factors

The epigenetic mechanism of gene regulation brings about stable phenotypic changes without changing the DNA nucleotide sequence. Epigenetic regulators play a key role in universal gene regulation. Several studies have shown a correlation between mutations in epigenetic regulators and an increased risk of NTDs (68–70, 117). Changes in DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, and histone modification can increase the likelihood of NTDs (68, 71). An increased risk of NTDs due to reduced methylation has been reported in many studies to be related to one-carbon folate metabolism (72, 73). Bu et al (74) and Dunwoodie et al (75) claim in their studies that mutations in GCN5 and CITED2 impair acetyltransferase (HAT) activity and thereby increase the risk of developing NTDs. Knockout mice deficient in p300-HAT displayed NTDs, suggesting a vital role of this enzyme in neural tube closure (76). Cheng et al (77) and Vega et al (78) found in their studies that NTDs at the cranial end can be caused by mutations in histone deacetylase (HDAC4 and SIRT1). Mutations in many enzymes modifying chromatin structure may also be associated with NTDs (79, 80).

3) Genetic factors

During embryonic development, neurulation takes place in both mice and humans through two phases, primary and secondary, occurring between embryonic days 8.5 and 10.5 in mice

(corresponding to days 22–23 and 26–30 of gestation in humans). The neural tube is an embryonic precursor that develops into the spinal cord and brain through the coordinated action of several signaling pathways, including planar cell polarity (PCP) signaling, sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling, bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling, inositol metabolism, and retinoid signaling. The process of neural tube closure within a specific time window is regulated by canonical Wnt signaling, fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling, tumor growth factor (TGF-β) signaling, notch signaling, receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor (ROR) signaling, and folate-methionine metabolic signaling pathways. Genes linked to these signaling pathways play a crucial role in epigenetic modifications such as acetylation and methylation, as well as in the organization of chromatin, regulation of the cell cycle, and the actin cytoskeleton (34). NTDs can follow patterns of inheritance with direct evidence of autosomal recessive inheritance (81). As reported by Bonnard et al (82), homozygous inactivation of the NUAK2 kinase leads to anencephaly in humans. Animal models suggest a possible association with TEAD2 transcription factor deficiencies (83). The presence of certain genetic variants that affect folate and FA metabolism can also affect the risk of anencephaly. The C677T polymorphism in the 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene affects folate synthesis, increasing the risk of spina bifida and anencephaly in the fetus (84, 85). Also, mutations at the level of genes such as McMARCKS, which is active during the development of the neural tube, and the FOXN1 gene, which is important for the proper development of the CNS, can lead to the development of anencephaly. These claims were described in a 1996 study suggesting that a protein encoded by the McMARCKS gene may play a role in closing the neural tube. The McMARCKS protein is stored in the cell membrane, which regulates the binding of actin filaments between cells. Chen et al (18) from Rockefeller University in New York, examined embryos with normal expression patterns of the McMARCKS gene and found that the brain developed normally without signs of anencephaly or other NTDs. They then created mutants that expressed a non-functional McMARCKS protein and found that all embryos were anencephalic. They concluded that McMARCKS plays a major role in neural tube closure. A study by Amorosi et al (18) describes how the authors investigated mutations of the FOXN1 gene. This gene encodes a transcription factor that controls the expression of genes responsible for the development of the CNS. The author and his colleagues concluded that the mutation of the FOXN1 gene led to improper neural tube formation and the development of anencephaly (18).

Prognosis

Anencephaly is a fatal disease (86). Most fetuses with this diagnosis are spontaneously miscarried or are stillborn, while those born alive succumb within hours or days of birth to cardiac and respiratory arrest (15). There is no cure or standard treatment for anencephaly. Owing to prenatal diagnosis, anencephaly is one of the few accepted indications for terminating pregnancy in its third trimester.

Epidemiology

Anencephaly occurs in newborns of any race or ethnic origin. NTDs more often affect the Hispanic population, especially in Latin American countries. Each year, approximately 300,000 children are born with NTDs, resulting in 88,000 deaths and 8.6 million disabilities during their lifetime (87). The frequency of anencephaly varies over time and geographically. For example, the prevalence rate of this condition in northern Iran was recorded at 12 per 10,000 births from 1998 to 2005 (88). In contrast, 2.81 per 10,000 births were reported in Texas, the United States, between 1999 and 2003 (89). The prevalence of anencephaly, as estimated from data collected from EUROCAT member countries during the period 2000–2010, was 3.52 per 10,000 births (90). Bhide et al (91) documented the prevalence of anencephaly in India at 2.1 per 1,000 births through 19 studies. A meta-analysis by Bitew et al (92) reported a prevalence of 63.3 per 10,000 births in Ethiopia. Research has shown that, overall, women are more affected by these diseases compared to men (93). When different ethnic groups are considered, individuals of Mexican descent living in America have significantly higher rates of NTDs and anencephaly. The International Birth Defects Monitoring System reported that in 2002, Mexico had the highest recorded rate of anencephaly in the world. Both maternal and paternal exposures to agricultural pesticides are thought to be a potential contributing factor in the development of this disease (94, 95). From 1998 to 2017, an estimated 95,213 pregnancies with NTDs were reported among 104 million births in 28 EU countries, resulting in a prevalence of 0.92 per 1,000 births (96).

Šípek et al (97) commented on the prevalence of anencephaly in the Czech Republic during the period 1994-2007, which was reported as 0.12 per 10,000 live births. In Slovakia, according to the Institute of Health Information and Statistics, the number of reported NTD cases ranged from 0.52 to 0.59 per 1,000 live births. Nevertheless, it is likely that this figure may be underestimated due to incomplete reporting of abortion cases. The prevalence of live births with NTDs averages 0.28 per 1,000 (98).

Preventative approaches

The optimal approach to reduce the burden caused by NTDs lies in primary prevention, which, given the multifactorial etiology of the disease, includes a range of different measures. Its main principles are as follows:

1. Support for planned parenthood

Planned parenthood is a fundamental recommendation in the prevention of NTDs. Studies have shown that young mothers (under 18 years of age) may have an increased risk of developing anencephaly (99-101). Further recommendations largely depend on the factors related to the reproductive stage of the woman.

2. Protection from harmful factors

During pregnancy, several potentially harmful factors affect the embryo and later the fetus. Teratogens are various factors that can trigger the development of congenital malformations. Unfortunately, even the common abuse of certain substances, such as tobacco, alcoholic beverages, and drugs, is associated with a whole range of negative effects on intrauterine development. Another group of harmful substances, such as chemicals or high doses of ionizing radiation, can be encountered in a workplace setting (102).

3. Pharmacotherapy in pregnancy

The first risk group comprises women with chronic diseases requiring continuous treatment, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or epilepsy. The second risk group involves occasional pharmacotherapy during pregnancy, especially during early pregnancy when a woman may not even be aware that she is pregnant.

4. Vitamin supplementation

Although in our circumstances, pregnant women do not typically suffer from a quantitative or qualitative vitamin deficiency, in the area of vitamin supplementation, it is essential to emphasize the beneficial impact of using FA. Numerous studies have demonstrated that this substance decreases the risk of NTDs by up to 72% (4, 103–105, 116). Dietary supplementation with FA is recommended for all pregnant women in the amount of 0.4 mg per day (106) or 4 mg daily after a previously affected pregnancy (107). Although dietary supplementation with FA significantly contributed to the decrease in the incidence of NTDs, it has not reached the point of 100% prevention of these defects because up to one-third of these defects are resistant to the effects of FA (108, 114). Another fact that plays a key role in the prevention of this disease is food fortification (115). Since 1998, mandatory fortification of food with FA has been introduced in the USA and Canada. All North American countries, Australia, and some South American countries have implemented similar rules. In the Czech Republic, fortifying food with FA is voluntary. In these countries, the incidence of NTDs increased significantly after the introduction of fortification (109).

A study by Molloy et al (110) describes a relationship between lower levels of circulating vitamin B12 and an increased risk of developing NTDs. Also, Smithells et al (111) claimed in their study that lower maternal vitamin C levels are associated with the development of NTDs. On the other hand, it is also necessary to mention the potential danger impending from excess of some vitamins, for example, vitamin A, which has proven teratogenic effects (112).

Future perspectives

Studies have demonstrated that while a large number of factors, including genetic, epigenetic, nutritional, and environmental factors, are related to the occurrence of NTDs, the underlying mechanism remains poorly understood, posing significant challenges for targeted prevention and treatment of NTDs (117).

The multifactorial complexity of NTDs implies that analyzing data from such studies will present a major challenge. Moreover, investigators will need to integrate genetic data with information on

404–413

epigenetic, environmental, and nutritional factors to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the causes of individual NTDs (118). Advances in whole-genome sequencing, exome-whole sequencing, and next-generation sequencing may help researchers in beginning to comprehend the genetic and epigenetic basis of NTDs in humans (119, 120). The use of proteomics and other "omics" technology can propel the identification of novel biomarkers and molecular networks implicated in NTDs, which is an indispensable step in the improvement of patient management (121, 122).

As FA plays a vital role in the development of NTDs, folate supplementation during gestation is recommended to reduce the risk of NTDs (123). Many excellent reviews on folate metabolism have appeared over the past decade (124–127). There is an abnormal methylation caused by FA deficiency in NTDs. Cao et al (128) reviewed the research progress on the etiology and mechanism of NTDs induced by methylation modification caused by FA deficiency (128). He and Li anticipated that the field of folate supplementation is poised to evolve from a one-size-fits-all approach to a personalized, precise, and poly-path strategy, crucial for meeting individual needs (129). In the future, the application of bioinformatics tools and explainable artificial intelligence may be applied to understand the molecular mechanisms of NTDs, which may also help in comprehending their relevance in regulating other cellular processes.

Results

The authors analyzed the incidence of anencephaly in Slovakia and the Czech Republic between 2012 and 2020. The data utilized for this analysis were provided by the National Health Information Centre of the Slovak Republic in Bratislava and the Institute for Health Information and Statistics of the Czech Republic in Prague.

In the Czech Republic, one case of anencephaly was diagnosed annually in 2012, 2014, 2016, and 2019, three cases in 2013, and 2018, four cases in 2015, and none in 2017 and 2020. Over the period from 2012 to 2020, a total of 14 cases of anencephaly were diagnosed, involving 7 male and 7 female children. The highest incidence of cases in the Czech Republic was reported to take place in the South Moravian region, the Ústí region, and the Moravian-Silesian region.

In Slovakia, one case of anencephaly was diagnosed annually in 2015, 2016, and 2019, two cases in 2012, 2017, 2018, and 2020,

Tab. 1. Diagnosed cases of anencephaly in children born in the Czech Republic and Slovakia in the years 2012–**2020 according to the outcome of the pregnancy (National Centre for Health Information of Slovakia, National Health Information System of the Czech Republic).**

	Czech Republic	Slovakia
Stillborn	2	
Live birth, death in childbirth	4	
Death within 7 days after birth	4	19
Death later than 7 days after birth		θ
Live birth, cause of death unknown	3	
Without information		
Living children		
Total	14	23

five cases in 2013, with up to 7 cases in 2014. Over the period between 2012 and 2020, a total of 23 cases of anencephaly were diagnosed in Slovakia, involving 15 male and 8 female children. The highest incidence of cases in Slovakia was reported in the Košice region, the Bratislava region and the Prešov region. Table 1 describes the method of pregnancy termination in the aforementioned diagnosed cases of anencephaly.

Concluding remarks

Anencephaly is a fatal developmental anomaly characterized by the absence of the cerebral hemispheres and cranial vault. It represents the most prevalent congenital disorder of CNS. This publication aims to analyze the incidence of anencephaly in Slovakia and the Czech Republic over a period from 2012 to 2020.

The data indicate a higher incidence of anencephaly in male births in Slovakia during this period, which is in contrast to the countries of the Western world where anencephaly predominantly affects females at a rate 37 times higher compared to males. Sexual dimorphism is a notable feature in several isolated birth defects, with NTDs exhibiting one of the most significant differences between the sexes.

While various potential causes have been proposed, such as differences in growth and development rates between male and female embryos, higher prenatal mortality in males, epigenetic phenomena, and X chromosome inactivation, the answer to this question remains elusive.

The authors conducted a comparative analysis of diagnosed cases of anencephaly in Slovakia and the Czech Republic. The data revealed that during the investigated period, the incidence in Slovakia was nearly twice as high as that in the Czech Republic. These findings suggest a potential issue with anencephaly prevention in Slovakia.

Currently, Slovak food manufacturers fortify only a selected range of products with folic acid, such as Flora vegetable spreads, Relax juices, Vitalinea yoghurt drinks, and some cereal products. However, the current level of fortification appears insufficient. It is recommended that fortification efforts be intensified to effectively reduce the incidence of anencephaly.

Conflicting perspectives among Slovak millers and lack of crucial information have resulted in flour producers in Slovakia rejecting the proposed amendment to the Food Code for almost three years. This amendment was intended to make it compulsory to fortify all flours with FA. Millers have opted not to support this progressive measure supported by the World Health Organization and the European Union, citing financial burdens as their primary concern. Consequently, their decision has thus left Slovakia without the cheapest, simplest, and most effective protection that can be provided to pregnant women and their future children.

References

1. Finnell RH, Caiaffa CD, Kim SE et al. Gene Environment Interactions in the Etiology of Neural Tube Defects. Front Genet 2021; 12: 608.

2. Copp AJ, Greene NDE. Neural tube defects-disorders of neurulation and related embryonic processes. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Bio 2013; 2 (2): 213–227.

3. Greene NDE, Copp AJ. Development of the vertebrate central nervous system: formation of the neural tube. Prenat Diag 2009; 29: 303–311.

4. Horn F, Sabova L, Pinterova E et al. Prevention of neural tube defects by folic acid – awareness among women of childbearing age in Slovakia. Bratisl Med J 2014; 115 (2): 91–97.

5. Gao Y, Chen X, Shangguan S et al. Association study of PARD3 gene polymorphisms with neural tube defects in a Chinese Han population. Reprod Sci 2012; 19 (7): 764-771.

6. Colas JF, Schoenwolf GC. Towards a cellular and molecular understanding of neurulation. Dev Dyn 2021; 221 (2): 117–145.

7. Vijayraghavan DS, Davidson LA. Mechanics of neurulation: From classical to current perspectives on the physical mechanics that shape, fold, and form the neural tube. Birth Defects Res 2017; 109 (2): 153–168.

8. Sadler TW. Langman's medical embryology*.* Montana: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2011; 65–79.

9. Moore KL, Dalley AF II. Clinically oriented anatomy. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006; 51–57.

10. Schoenwolf GC, Bleyl SB, Brauer PR et al. Larsen's human embryology. New York–Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 2008; 101–120.

11. Munteanu O, Cîrstoiu MM, Filipoiu FM et al. The etiopathogenic and morphological spectrum of anencephaly: a comprehensive review of literature. Rom J Morphol Embryol 2020; 61 (2): 335–343.

12. O'Rahilly M, Muller F. Human Embryology & Teratology. New York: Wiley-Liss, Inc, 1992; 253.

13. Nikolopoulou E, Galea GL, Rolo A et al. Neural tube closure: cellular, molecular and biomechanical mechanisms. Develop 2017; 144 (4): 552–566.

14. Luye Anencephaly Information Page: National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Archived from the original on 2 December 2016.

15. LuyendijkW,Treffer PD. The smile in anencephalic infants. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 1992; 94: 113–117.

16. Diament A. Neurologia Infantil. 3ª Edição. São Paulo: Atheneu 1996; 745.

17. Moore L. Anencephaly. J of Diagnostic Med Sonography 2010; 26 (6): 286–289.

18. King J. Anencephaly. In: Embryo Project Encyclopedia. Arizona: Arizona State University, 2013.

19. Kapeller K, Pospíšilová V. Embryológia človeka. Martin: Osveta, 1990; 187.

20. Salari N, Fatahi B, Fatahian R et al. Global prevalence of congenital anencephaly: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. Reproduc Health 2022; 19: 201.

21. Krantz DA, Hallahan TW, Carmichael JB. Screening for open neural tube defects. Clin Lab Med 2016; 36 (2): 401–406.

22. Katorza E, Gat I, Duvdevani N et al. Fetal brain anomalies detection during the first trimester: expanding the scope of antenatal sonography. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2018; 31 (4): 506–512.

23. Chervenak FA, Kurjak A, Comstock CH. Ultrasound and the Fetal Brain: Progress in Obstetric and Gynecological Sonography Series (1st publ ed.). New York: Parthenon Pub Group, 1995; 102.

24. Medical Task Force on Anencephaly. The infant with anencephaly. N Engl J Med 1990; 322 (10): 669–674.

25. Kondo A, Matsuo T, Morota N et al. Neural tube defects: Risk factors and preventive measures. Congenit Anom (Kyoto) 2017; 57 (5): 150–156.

26. Ratcliffe SD, Baxley EG, Cline MK et al. Family medicine obstetrics (3rd ed.). Philadelphia: Mosby Elsevier, 2008.

27. Hall JW, Denne N, Minardi JJ et al. Check the head: emergency ultrasound diagnosis of fetal anencephaly. West J Emerg Med 2016; 17 (4): 460–463.

28. Sepulveda W, De La Maza F, Meagher S. An unusual first-trimester ultrasound presentation of the acrania–anencephaly sequence: the "Turkish turban" sign. J Ultrasound Med 2020; 39 (4): 829–832.

29. Struksnæs C, Blaas HGK, Vogt C. Autopsy findings of central nervous system anomalies in intact fetuses following termination of pregnancy after prenatal ultrasound diagnosis. Pediatr Dev Pathol 2019; 22 (6): 546–557.

30. Opolot I, Waako ChK, Wandabwaa J et al. Anencephaly and Its Associated Congenital Anomalies: A Case Report of a Delivery in a Rural Hospital, in Eastern Uganda. Int Neuropsych Disease J 2022; 18 (1): 25–36.

31. Santana EFM, Júnior EA, Tonni G et al. Acrania – exencephaly – anencephaly sequence phenotypic characterization using two- and threedimensional ultrasound between 11 and 13 weeks and 6 days of gestation. J Ultrason 2018; 18 (74): 240–246.

32. Bredaki FE, Poon LC, Birdir C et al. First trimester screening for neural tube defects using alfa fetoprotein. Fetal Diagn Ther 2012; 31(2): 109–114.

33. Padmanabhan R. Etiology, pathogenesis, and prevention of neural tube defects. Congenit Anom (Kyoto) 2006; 46 (2): 55–67.

34. Rai S, Leydier L, Sharma S et al. A quest for genetic causes underlying signalling pathways associated with neural tube defects. Front Pediatr 2023, 11.

35. Ebara S. Nutritional role of folate. Congenit Anom (Kyoto) 2017; 57 (5): 138–141.

36. Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Use of folic acid for prevention of spina bifida and other neural tube defects – 1983–1991. MMWR. Morb Mort Wkly Report 1991; 40 (30): 513–516.

37. Doležálková E, Unzeitig V. Folic acid and prevention of the neural tube defects. Čes Gynekol 2014; 79 (2): 134–139.

38. Liu J. Preventing Birth Defects: Implications and Prospects. China CDC Wkly 2023; 5 (36): 789–790.

39. US Preventive Services Task Force, Barry MJ, Nicholson WK et al. Folic Acid Supplementation to Prevent Neural Tube Defects: US Preventive Services Task Force Reaffirmation Recommendation Statement. JAMA 2023; 330 (5): 454–459.

40. Imbard A, Benoist JF, Blom HJ. Neural tube defects, folic acid, and methylation. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2013; 10 (9): 4352–4389.

41. Özel Ş, Ozyer S, Aykut O et al. Maternal second trimester blood levels of selected heavy metals in pregnancies complicated with neural tube defects. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2019; 32 (15): 2547–2553.

42. Cengiz B, Söylemez F, Öztürk E et al. Serum zinc, selenium, copper, and lead levels in women with second-trimester induced abortion resulting from neural tube defects: a preliminary study. Biol Trace Elem Res 2004; 97: 225–235.

43. Demir N, Ba şarano ğlu M, Huyut Z et al. The relationship between mother and infant plasma trace element and heavy metal levels and the risk of neural tube defect in infants. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2019; 32 (9): 1433–1440.

44. Wang C, Pi X, Chen Y et al. Prenatal exposure to barium and the occurrence of neural tube defects in offspring. Sci Total Environ 2021; 764: 144245.

45. Wang B, Pang Y, Zhang Y et al. Thorium and fetal neural tube defects: an epidemiological evidence from large case-control study. Genes Environ 2021; 43 (1): 1.

46. Pi X, Wang D, Wang C et al. Placental concentrations of alkali metals and their associations with neural tube defects in offspring. Placenta 2022; $121 \cdot 46 - 52$

47. Abebe M, Afework M, Emamu B et al. Risk Factors of Anencephaly: A Case-Control Study in Dessie Town, North East Ethiopia. Pediatric Health Med Ther 2021; 12: 499–506.

48. Lundberg YW, Wing MJ, Xiong W et al. Genetic dissection of hyperthermia-induced neural tube defects in mice. Birth Defects Res a Clin Mol Teratol 2003; 67 (6): 409–413.

Bratisl Med J 2024; 125 (7)

404–413

49. Moretti ME, Bar-Oz B, Fried S et al. Maternal hyperthermia and the risk for neural tube defects in offspring: systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiology 2005; 216–219.

50. Luteijn JM, Brown MJ, Dolk H. Influenza and congenital anomalies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod 2014; 29 (4): 809–823.

51. Salih MA, Murshid WR, Seidahmed MZ. Epidemiology, prenatal management, and prevention of neural tube defects. Saudi Med J 2014; 35 (1): S15.

52. Sandford MK, Kissling GE, Joubert PE. Neural tube defect etiology: new evidence concerning maternal hyperthermia, health, and diet. Dev Med Child Neurol 1992; 34 (8): 661–675.

53. Miller P, Smith DW, Shepard TH. Maternal hyperthermia as a possible cause of anencephaly. Lancet 1978; 1 (8063): 519–521.

54. Kalra S, Dewan P, Batra P et al. Organochlorine pesticide exposure in mothers and neural tube defects in offsprings. Reprod Toxicol 2016; 66: 56–60.

55. Gashaw A, Shine S, Yimer O et al. Risk factors associated to neural tube defects among mothers who gave birth in North Shoa Zone Hospitals, Amhara Region, Ethiopia 2020: case control study. PLoS One 2021; 16 (4): e0250719.

56. Atlaw D, Tekalegn Y, Sahiledengle B et al. Magnitude and determinants of neural tube defect in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2021; 21 (1): 1–4.

57. Isaković J, Šimunić I, Jagečić D et al. Overview of neural tube defects: gene-environment interactions, preventative approaches, and future perspectives. Biomedicines 2022; 10 (5): 965.

58. Amitai Y, Koren G. High risk for neural tube defects; the role of arsenic in drinking water and rice in Asia. Med Hypotheses 2018; 119: 88–90.

59. Wlodarczyk BJ, Cabrera RM, Hill DS et al. Arsenic induced gene expression changes in the neural tube of folate transport defective mouse embryos. Neurotoxicology 2006; 27 (4): 547–557.

60. Mazumdar M. Does arsenic increase the risk of neural tube defects among a highly exposed population? A new case-control study in Bangladesh. Birth Defects Res 2017; 109 (2): 92–98.

61. Han ZJ, Song G, Cui Y et al. Oxidative stress is implicated in arsenic induced neural tube defects in chick embryos. Int J Dev Neurosci 2011; 29 $(7): 673 - 680.$

62. Shalat SL, Walker DB, Finne ll RH. Role of arsenic as a reproductive toxin with particular attention to neural tube defects. JToxicol Environ Health 1996; 48 (3): 253–272.

63. Abdel-Shafy HI, Mansour MS. A review on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: source, environmental impact, effect on human health and remediation. Egypt J Petroleum 2016; 25 (1): 107–123.

64. Huang Y, Lin S, Jin Let al. Decreased global DNA hydroxymethylation in neural tube defects: association with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Epigenetics 2019; 14 (10): 1019–1029.

65. Ailes EC, Gilboa SM, Gill SK et al. National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Association between antibiotic use among pregnant women with urinary tract infections in the first trimester and birth defects, National Birth Defects Prevention Study 1997 to 2011. Birth Defects Res Clin Mol Teratol 2016; 106 (11): 940–949.

66. Crider KS, Cleves MA, Reefhuis J et al. Antibacterial medication use during pregnancy and risk of birth defects: national birth defects prevention study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2009; 163 (11): 978–985.

67. Correa A, Gilboa SM, Botto LD et al. National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Lack of periconceptional vitamins or supplements that contain folic acid and diabetes mellitus-associated birth defects. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012; 2006 (3): 218.

68. Greene ND, Stanier P, Moore GE. The emerging role of epigenetic mechanisms in the etiology of neural tube defects. Epigenetics 2011; 6 (7): 875–883.

69. Wolujewicz P, Ross ME. The search for genetic determinants of human neural tube defects. Curr Opin Pediatr 2019; 31 (6): 739.

70. Stolk L, Bouwland-Both MI, van Mill NH et al. Epigenetic profiles in children with a neural tube defect; a case-control study in two populations. PLoS One 2013; 8 (11): e78462.

71. Wilde JJ, Petersen JR, Niswander L. Genetic, epigenetic, and environmental contributions to neural tube closure. Annu Rev Genet 2014; 48: 583–611.

72. Scott JM, Weir DG, Molloy A et al. Folic acid metabolism and mechanisms of neural tube defects. Ciba Found Symp. 1994; 181: 180–187.

73. Finnell RH, Blom HJ, Shaw GM. Does global hypomethylation contribute to susceptibility to neural tube defects? Am J Clin Nutr 2010; 91 (5): 1153–1154.

74. Bu P, Evrard YA, Lozano G et al. Loss of Gcn5 acetyltransferase activity leads to neural tube closure defects and exencephaly in mouse embryos. Mol Cell Biol 2007; 27 (9): 3405–3416.

75. Dunwoodie SL, Rodriguez TA, Beddington RS. Msg1 and Mrg1, founding members of a gene family, show distinct patterns of gene expression during mouse embryogenesis. Mech Dev 1998; 72 (1–2): 27–40.

76. Yao TP, Oh SP, Fuchs M et al. Gene dosage-dependent embryonic development and proliferation defects in mice lacking the transcriptional integrator p300. Cell 1998; 93 (3): 361–372.

77. Cheng HL, Mostoslavsky R, Saito SI et al. Developmental defects and p53 hyperacetylation in Sir2 homolog (SIRT1)-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003; 100 (19): 10794–10799.

78. Vega RB, Matsuda K, Oh J et al. Histone deacetylase 4 controls chondrocyte hypertrophy during skeletogenesis. Cell 2004; 119 (4): 555–566.

79. Harris MJ, Juriloff DM. Mouse mutants with neural tube closure defects and their role in understanding human neural tube defects. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2007; 79 (3): 187–210.

80. Harris MJ, Juriloff DM. An update to the list of mouse mutants with neural tube closure defects and advances toward a complete genetic perspective of neural tube closure. Birth Defects Res Clin Mol Teratol 2010; 88 (8): 653–669.

81. Shaffer LG, Marazita ML, Bodurtha JNA et al. Evidence for a major gene in familial anencephaly. Am J Medical Genetics 1990; 36 (1): 97–101.

82. Bonnard C, Navaratnam N, Ghosh K et al. Aloss-of-function NUAK2 mutation in humans causes anencephaly due to impaired Hippo-YAP signalling". J Exp Med 2020; 217 (12).

83. Kaneko Kotaro J, Kohn MJ, Liu Ch et al. Transcription factor TEAD2 is involved in neural tube closure. Genesis 2007; 45 (9): 577–587.

84. Van der Put NM, Eskes TK, Blom HJ. Is the common 677C-->T mutation in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene a risk factor for neural tube defects? A meta-analysis. QJM 1997; 90 (2): 111–115.

85. Forci K, Bouaiti EA, Alami MH et al. Incidence of neural tube defects and their risk factors within a cohort of Moroccan newborn infants. BMC Pediatr 2021; 21 (1): 124.

86. Tafuri SM, Lui F. Embryology, Anencephaly. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing 2023.

87. Tiwari P, Gupta MM. Study of lethal congenital malformations at a tertiary-care referral centre in North India. Cureus 2020; 12 (4): e7502.

88. Imaizumi Y, Yamamura H, Nishikawa M et al. The prevalence at birth of congenital malformations at a maternity hospital in Osaka City, 1948–1990. Jinrui idengaku zasshi Jpn J Hum Genet 1991; 36 (3): 275–287.

89. Zhang TN, Gong TT, Chen YLet al. Time trends in the prevalence and epidemiological characteristics of neural tube defects in Liaoning Province, China, 2006–2015: a population-based study. Oncotarget 2017; 8 (10): 17092–17104.

90. Seto T, Nakagawa H, Morikawa Y et al. Trend of congenital anomalies over 20 years ascertained by population-based monitoring in Ishikawa Prefecture, Japan Congenit Anom 2003; 43 (4): 286–293.

91. Bhide P, Sagoo GS, Moorthie S et al. Systematic review of birth prevalence of neural tube defects in India. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2013; 97: 437–443.

92. Bitew ZW, Worku T, Alebel A et al. Magnitude and Associated Factors of Neural Tube Defects in Ethiopia: ASystematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Glob Pediatr Health 2020; 7.

93. Timson J. The sex ratio in anencephaly. Genetica 1970; 41 (3): 457–465.

94. Brender JD, Felkner M, Suarez Let al. Maternal pesticide exposure and neural tube defects in Mexican Americans. Ann Epidemiol 2010; 20: 16–22.

95. Lacasana M, Vazquez-Grameix H, Borja-Aburto VH et al: Maternal and paternal occupational exposure to agricultural work and the risk of anencephaly. Occup Environ Med 2006; 63: 649–656.

96. Morris JK, Addor MC, Ballardini E et al. Prevention of Neural Tube Defects in Europe: A Public Health Failure. Front Pediatr 2021; 9: 647038.

97. Šípek A, Gregor V, Šípek AJr et al. Birth defects in the Czech Republic in 1994–2007. Ces Gynekol 2009; 74 (1): 31–44.

98. Behunová J, Podracká Ľ. Neural Tube Defects – Current Opinion on Etiopathogenesis and Prevention Potential of Folic Acid. Czech-Slovak Pediatrics 2008; 63 (1): 38–46.

99. Fedrick J. Anencephalus in Scotland 1961–72. Br J Prev Soc Med 1976; 30 (2): 132–137.

100.Forrester MB, Merz RD. Epidemiology of neural tube defects, Hawaii, 1986–1997. Hawaii Med J 2000; 59 (8): 323–341.

101. Pethő B, Mátrai Á, Agócs G et al. Maternal age is highly associated with non-chromosomal congenital anomalies: Analysis of a population-based case-control database. BJOG 2023; 130 (10): 1217–1225.

102. Botto LD, Robert-Gnansia E, Siffel C et al. Fostering international collaboration in birth defects research and prevention: a perspective from the International Clearinghouse for Birth Defects Surveillance and Research. Am J Public Health 2006; 96 (5): 774–780.

103.Wald N, Sneddon J, Densem J et al. Prevention of Neural Tube Defects: Results of the Medical Research Council Vitamin Study. Lancet 1991; 338: 131–137.

104. Zikavska T, Brucknerova I. Extremely high concentration of folates in premature newborns. Bratisl Lek Listy 2014; 115 (2) 103–106.

105.Revakova T, Revak O, Vasilenkova A et al. Amount of folic acid in different types of nutrition used in the neonatal period. Bratisl Lek Listy 2015; 116 (6) 349–353.

106.de Walle H, Abramsky L, EUROCAT Folic Acid Working Group. Special Report: prevention of neural tube defects by periconceptional folic acid supplementation in Europe. EUROCAT Central Registry 2009; 31.

107.Czeizel AE, Dudás I, Paput L et al. Prevention of neural-tube defects with periconceptional folic acid, methylfolate, or multivitamins? Ann Nutr Metab 2011; 58: 263–271.

108.Blencowe H, Cousens S, Modell B et al. Folic acid to reduce neonatal mortality from neural tube disorders. Int J Epidemiol 2010; 39 (1): 110–121.

109.Šípek A, Šípek A, Gregor V et al. Primární prevence vrozených vývojových vad. Prakt Lék 2012; 9: 491–1 493.

110.Molloy AM, Kirke PN, Troendle JF et al. Maternal vitamin B12 status and risk of neural tube defects in a population with high neural tube

defect prevalence and no folic acid fortification. Pediatrics 2009; 123: 917–923.

111. Smithells RW, Sheppard S, Schorah CJ. Vitamin deficiencies and neural tube defects. Arch Dis Child 1976; 51: 944–950.

112.Kalter H. Teratology in the twentieth century. congenital malformations in humans and how their environmental causes were established. Amsterdam: Elsevier 2003; 166.

113.Koren G, Kaplan YC. Obesity, neural tube defects and folic acid – A complex relationship. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol 2021; 48 (2): 223–227.

114.Wolujewicz P, Steele JW, Kaltschmidt JA et al. Unraveling the complex genetics of neural tube defects: From biological models to human genomics and back. Genes 2021; 59 (11): e2345959.

115.Wald NJ. Folic acid and neural tube defects: Discovery, debate and the need for policy change. J Med Screen 2022; 29 (3): 138–146.

116. Zhou Y, Crider KS, Yeung LF et al. Periconceptional folic acid use prevents both rare and common neural tube defects in China. Birth Defects Res 2022; 114 (5–6): 184–196.

117. Wang X, Yu J, Wang J. Neural Tube Defects and Folate Deficiency: Is DNA Repair Defective? Int J Mol Sci 2023; 24: 2220.

118.Greene ND, Copp AJ. Neural tube defects. Annu Rev Neurosci 2014; 37: 221–42.

119. Rochtus A, Jansen K, Van Geet C et al. Nutri-epigenomic Studies Related to Neural Tube Defects: Does Folate Affect Neural Tube Closure Via Changes in DNA Methylation? Mini Rev Med Chem 2015; 15 (13): 1095–1102.

120. Huang W, Yuan Z, Gu H. Exploring epigenomic mechanisms of neural tube defects using multi-omics methods and data. Ann NY Acad Sci 2022; 1515: 50–60.

121.Puvirajesinghe TM, Borg JP. Neural tube defects: from a proteomic standpoint. Metabolites 2015; 5: 164–183.

122.Monni G, Atzori L, Corda V et al. Metabolomics in Prenatal Medicine: A Review. Front Med 2021; 8: 645118.

123.Wilson RD, O'Connor DL. Maternal folic acid and multivitamin supplementation: International clinical evidence with considerations for the prevention of folate-sensitive birth defects. Prev Med Rep 2021; 24: 101617.

124. Zheng Y, Cantley LC. Toward a better understanding of folate metabolism in health and disease. J Exp Med 2019; 216 (2): 253–266.

125.Maynard AG, Petrova B, Kanarek N. Notes from the 2022 folate, vitamin B12, and one-carbon metabolism conference. Metabolites 2023; 13 (6): 752.

126.Lyon P, Strippoli V, Fang B et al. B Vitamins and One-Carbon Metabolism: Implications in Human Health and Disease. Nutrients 2020; 12 (9): 2867.

127.Shulpekova Y, Nechaev V, Kardasheva S et al. The Concept of Folic Acid in Health and Disease. Molecules 2021; 26 (12): 3731.

128. Cao R, Xie J, Zhang L. Abnormal methylation caused by folic acid deficiency in neural tube defects. Open Life Sci 2022; 17 (1): 1679–1688.

129.He Q, Li J. The evolution of folate supplementation – from one size for all to personalized, precision, poly-paths. J Transl Int Med 2023; 11 (2): 128–137.

> Received November 30, 2023. Accepted February 12, 2024.