Protein interaction matrix of Papaya ringspot virus type P based on a yeast two-hybrid system

W.T. SHEN, M. Q. WANG, P. YAN, L. GAO, P. ZHOU*

Key Biotechnology Laboratory for Tropical Crops, Ministry of Agriculture, Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology (ITBB), Chinese Academy of Tropical Agriculture Sciences (CATAS), 571101 Haikou, Hainan, P.R. China

Received May 29, 2009; accepted December 16, 2009

Summary. – Comprehensive analysis of the interactions between 10 mature proteins of Papaya ringspot virus type P (PRSV-P) was carried out based on a yeast two-hybrid system assay (YTHS). We detected 6 interactions between different viral proteins (VPg-P1, VPg-P3, VPg-CI, VPg-CP, NIaPro-CI, and NIb-P3) and 4 self-interactions (HC-Pro, VPg, NIaPro, and CP). These interactions did not show the same directionality as corresponding interactions detected in other potyviruses and consequently, a protein interaction matrix displayed different patterns. This initial map of the protein interactions of PRSV-P allows further study of various viral proteins in order to develop a new strategy to control PRSV-P infection.

Keywords: Potyvirus; protein-protein interaction; Papaya ringspot virus; yeast two-hybrid system

Introduction

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.), an important fruit crop cultivated throughout tropical and subtropical regions, is well-known for its nutritional and healing values (Chandrika *et al.*, 2003). However, papaya is severely affected by various diseases. A viral disease caused by PRSV-P (the genus *Potyvirus*, the family *Potyviridae*) occurs in most of papaya plantation areas and is considered to be as the most destructive (Bateson *et al.*, 1994; Gonsalves, 1998). PRSV is grouped into two types, P and W. Type P infects papaya and cucurbits and type W infects only cucurbits (Gonsalves and Ishii, 1980; Roy *et al.*, 1999). In papaya, PRSV-P causes severe mosaic and malformation of leaves, ringspots and streaking on fruits, water-soaked appearance on stems and petioles. The diseased plants become stunted and produce less fruits (Purcifull *et* al., 1984). PRSV is transmitted in a non-persistent manner by a number of aphid species (Purcifull et al., 1984; Gonsalves, 1998). PRSV is a positive single-stranded RNA virus and the genomes of different PRSV isolates have been completely sequenced and characterized (Yeh et al., 1992; Mangrauthia et al., 2008). Recently, we reported the complete genomic sequence of PRSV-P isolate occurring in Hainan Island, China. We also presented the evidence that this virus represented a unique and novel PRSV-P isolate (Lu et al., 2008). The viral genome of PRSV-P consists of 10,323 nucleotides (nt) and contains an ORF encoding a large polyprotein processed by virus-encoded proteases into 10 mature functional proteins, namely protein P1, helper component proteinase (HC-Pro), protein P3, 6 K protein 1 (6K1), cylindrical inclusion protein (CI), 6 K protein 2 (6K2), viral genome-linked protein (VPg), nuclear inclusion a proteinase (NIaPro), nuclear inclusion b protein (NIb), and coat protein (CP) (Urcuqui-Inchima et al., 2001; Lu et al., 2008). The proteins VPg and CP are found in virion, whereas remaining proteins are detected in the infected plants (Dougherty and Carrington, 1988). Most potyviral proteins considered as multifunctional are involved in virus replication, movement, assembly, synergism, aphid transmission, symptom expression, and RNA silencing (Urcuqui-Inchima et al., 2001). Some interactions between potyviral proteins play important roles in the viral infec-

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: dnaswt@hotmail.com; swtdna@126. com; fax: +86898-66988564.

Abbreviations: AD = transcriptional activation domain; BD = DNAbinding domain; ClYVV = Clover yellow vein virus; PVA = Potato virus A; PRSV-P = Papaya ringspot virus type P; PSbMV = Pea seedborne mosaic virus; SMV = Soybean mosaic virus; SYSV = Shallot yellow stripe virus; YTHS = yeast two-hybrid system

tion and host defense (Blanc *et al.*, 1997; Blanc *et al.*, 1998; Roudet-Tavert *et al.*, 2002; Whitham *et al.*, 2006).

In recent years, viral research methods have made a great progress. YTHS is the most common method for a highthroughput identification of potential protein-protein interactions (Suter et al., 2008). As the number of virus-encoded proteins is limited, it is possible to detect all protein-protein interactions all the way through the genome (Uetz et al., 2004). To date, some comprehensive analyses of protein interactions from a single virus using YTHS have been successfully completed in several potyviruses including Potato virus A (PVA), Pea seed-borne mosaic virus (PSbMV), Soybean mosaic virus (SMV) and Shallot yellow stripe virus (SYSV) (Guo et al., 2001; Kang et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2009). These results indicate that the protein-protein interaction matrix or map of each potyvirus investigated by YTHS is different, although some interactions appear to be common among potyviruses. Namely, each potyvirus may have unique protein-protein interaction patterns (Yambao et al., 2003). Knowledge of potyviral protein interaction maps would contribute to the understanding of initially intricate relationships among proteins and to gain a potential insight into the molecular mechanisms of potyvirus infection. Previous studies on PRSV-P focused mostly on the molecular characterization of viral genome and transgenic papaya resistance to PRSV-P, but the viral proteome and function of PRSV-P remained largely unknown (Guo et al., 2001; Tecson Mendoza et al., 2008; Tripathi et al., 2008).

To investigate the modes of action and function of viral proteins from PRSV-P and to contribute insights into the molecular mechanism of PRSV-P infection, we adopted a GAL4 transcription activator-based YTHS for a protein interaction matrix involving ten PRSV-P proteins. We compared some viral protein interactions of PRSV-P with corresponding interactions reported previously for other potyviruses.

Materials and Methods

Production of PRSV cDNA. PRSV-P (Acc. No. EF183499) was collected in our laboratory (Lu *et al.*, 2008). Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and RNA PCR Kit (AMV) Ver 3.0 (TaKaRa) was used for PRSV cDNA synthesis.

Plasmid construction. GAL4 two-hybrid phagemid vector system (Stratagene) was used to examine the interactions between all ten PRSV-encoded proteins (P1, HCPro, P3, 6K1, CI, 6K2, VPg, NIaPro, Nib, and CP) according to the instruction manual. Each of the 10 full-length genes encoding viral proteins was amplified by PCR from viral cDNAs of PRSV-P with high fidelity enzyme mix (Fermentas). All the primers (Table 1) used for amplification were designed by inserting of the appropriate restriction sites (*Bam*HI, *Eco*RI, *NheI*, *PstI*, *SalI*, and *XhoI*) that could be used for subcloning of the fragments from pMD18-T vector (Takara) into pBD-GAL4 Cam (*TRP1*, *Cam*^r) and pAD-GAL4-2.1(*LEU2*, *Amp*^r) vector (Stratagene). The accuracy of all fusion junction sequences and reading frames in the YTHS vectors were identified by DNA sequencing.

Extraction of yeast proteins and Western blot analysis. YTHS plasmids were transformed into YRG-2 yeast cells individually by lithium acetate method and then plated on SD/-Trp or SD/-Leu medium and grown at 30°C according to the manufacturer's protocol (Stratagene). The total proteins of a single positive yeast transformant were extracted (Atkin *et al.*, 1995). Aliquots of 20 µg of protein were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The blots were probed with monoclonal antibodies GAL4-DBD or GAL4-TA diluted 1:300 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) against BD or AD, respectively, and then with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG diluted 1:2,000 (Tiangen Biotechnology).

Yeast two-hybrid assay. The yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) host strain YRG-2 (Stratagene) containing a dual selection reporter gene with lacZ and HIS3 was used for a screening of yeast that generated an interaction between expressed proteins. The promoters that govern an expression of these two reporter genes are optimized to reduce a number of false positives. In addition, the YRG-2 strain also carries the auxotrophic markers, leu2 and trp1 for the selection of yeast that were transformed with YTHS vectors pAD-GAL4-2.1 and pBD-GAL4 Cam (Stratagene). To determine protein-protein interactions, the pAD-GAL4- 2.1 and pBD-GAL4 Cam fusion derivatives were cotransformed into YRG-2 cell sequentially and the transformants were screened on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His medium for 4-7 days at 30°C according to the manufacturer's protocols. Yeast cells cotransformed with pLamin C (aa 67-230 of human lamin C) and pAD-WT (aa 32-236, wild-type lambda cI) were used as a negative control, whereas those cotransformed with pBD-WT and pAD-WT were used as a positive control (Stratagene). The β-galactosidase activity of the putative positive transformants was checked by filter assay (Guo et al., 2001; Yambao et al., 2003). All experiments were repeated at least three times.

Results

Confirmation of viral fusion protein expression in the yeast

To confirm that a negative interaction was not a result of the viral fusion proteins expression absence in YTHS, each of 10 mature protein genes (P1~ 63 K, HC-Pro~52 K, P3~40 K, 6K1~6 K, CI~72 K, 6K2~6 K, VPg~ 21 K, NIaPro~ 27 K, Nib~ 59 K, and CP~ 33 K) from PRSV-P was cloned correctly into both the pAD-GAL4-2.1 and pBD-GAL4 Cam plasmids to be expressed as fusion proteins with either the GAL4 AD (15 K) or the GAL4 BD (17.5 K). Western blot analysis showed that all AD and BD fusion proteins from PRSV-P could be expressed individually in YRG-2 yeast cells at a detectable level (Fig. 1). This finding provided a support to establish interactions that may have not been detected due to the absence of viral fusion proteins expression. Thus, all recombinant plasmids could be used in the YTHS assay.

Verification of recombinant plasmid suitability for YTHS

To eliminate possible false positive interactions that may occur in the pAD-GAL4-2.1 fusion that tend to interact with the GAL4 BD in the absence of interaction partner, the yeast

SHEN, W.T. et al.: PROTEIN INTERACTION MATRIX OF PRSV-P

Viral gene (size)	Primer (pBD-GAL4 Cam)*	Primer (pAD-GAL4-2.1)*
P1 (1641 nt)	5'-GC <i>GAATTCA</i> TGTCTTCATTGTACCAATTGCAAC-3' 5'-GC <i>GTCGAC</i> TCAGTATTGCTCCATATGCATGCGAAT-3'	5'-GC <i>GGATCC</i> ATGTCTTCATTGTACCAATTGCAAC-3' 5'-GC <i>GCTAGC</i> TCAGTATTGCTCCATATGCATGCGAAT-3'
HC-Pro (1371 nt)	5'-GC <i>GAATTC</i> AACGATGTCGCTGAGAAATTC-3' 5'-TA <i>GTCGAC</i> TCAACCAACAATGTAGTGCTTCATT-3'	5'-GC <i>GAATTC</i> AACGATGTCGCTGAGAAATTC-3' 5'-TA <i>CTCGAG</i> TCAACCAACAATGTAGTGCTTCATT-3'
P3 (1035 nt)	5'-TA <i>GTCGAC</i> TCGGAGAATTCGATCCAACTAC-3' 5'-GC <i>CTGCAG</i> TTATTGATGAATTACTGGTATGTAAAT-3'	5'-TA <i>GCTAGC</i> GGAGAATTCGATCCAACTAC-3' 5'-GC <i>CTCGAG</i> TTATTGATGAATTACTGGTATGTAAAT-3'
6K1 (156 nt)	5'-CG <i>GAATTC</i> GCAAAATCGGACAATGAAAAG-3' 5'-CG <i>GTCGAC</i> TTATTGATGATAAACACTTGTATTG-3'	5'-CG <i>GAATTC</i> GCAAAATCGGACAATGAAAAG-3' 5'-CG <i>CTCGAG</i> TTATTGATGATAAACACTTGTATTG-3'
CI (1905 nt)	5'-CA <i>GTCGAC</i> TCTCTTTGGATGACATTCGGG-3' 5'-TA <i>CTGCAG</i> CTATTGATGATACACAGCCCTC-3'	5'-CA <i>GCTAGCT</i> CTTTGGATGACATTCGGG-3' 5'-CA <i>CTCGA</i> GCTATTGATGATACACAGCCCTC-3'
6K2 (171 nt)	5'-TA <i>GAATTC</i> AGCGTTGATGGGGGTTAAGCAC-3' 5'-GC <i>GTCGAC</i> CTATTGATGGAACACGTGAACATTTG-3'	5'-TA <i>GAATTC</i> AGCGTTGATGGGGGTTAAGCAC-3' 5'-GC <i>CTCGAG</i> CTATTGATGGAACACGTGAACATTTG-3'
VPg (567 nt)	5'-TA <i>GAATTC</i> GGTTTCTCCGCGCGAC-3' 5'-GC <i>GTCGAC</i> CTATTCATGGTGAACAGATTTTG-3'	5'-TA <i>GAATTC</i> GGTTTCTCCGCGCGAC-3' 5'-GC <i>CTCGAG</i> CTATTCATGGTGAACAGATTTTG-3'
NIaPro (714 nt)	5'-GC <i>GAATTC</i> GGAAAGAGTCTTTGCCAAGG-3' 5'-GC <i>GTCGAC</i> TCACTGCTCAAAAACATTTAATTGATT-3'	5'-GC <i>GAATTC</i> GGAAAGAGTCTTTGCCAAGG-3' 5'-GC <i>CTCGAG</i> TCACTGCTCAAAAACATTTAATTGATT-3'
NIb (1611 nt)	5'-GC <i>GAATTC</i> AGTGGAAGTCGATGGCTTT-3' 5'-GC <i>GTCGAC</i> TTACTGGTGAAATACATGTGCAT-3'	5'-GC <i>GAATTC</i> AGTGGAAGTCGATGGCTTT-3' 5'-CA <i>CTCGAG</i> TTACTGGTGAAATACATGTGCAT-3'
CP (861 nt)	5'-TA <i>GTCGAC</i> TCAATTACGCATACCCAGGAGAGAG-3' 5'-GC <i>GAATTC</i> TCCAAAAATGAAGCTGTGGATGC-3'	5'-GC <i>GCTAGC</i> TCCAAAAATGAAGCTGTGGATGC-3' 5'-TA <i>CTCGAG</i> TCAATTACGCATACCCAGGAGAGAG-3'

Table 1. Primers used for amplification of various genes encoding proteins of PRSV-P

*Restriction sites are in italics.



Western blot analysis of AD (a) and BD (b) fusion proteins of PRSV-P expressed in yeast cell YRG-2 Protein size marker (lane M).

cells transformed with recombinant pAD-GAL4-2.1 DNAs carrying viral genes and the empty pBD-GAL4 Cam vector were first detected on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His medium at 30°C.

Similar to the negative control, no colonies were observed on the synthetic medium for up to 5 days of incubation. The same result was obtained, when the reciprocal combination

Binding domain P1 HC-Pro P3 6K1 CI 6K2 VPg NIaPro NIb CP P1 - - - - +++ - - - P1 - - - - +++ - - - P1 - - - - +++ - - - P1 - - - - - +++ - - - P1 - <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>											
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Binding domain	Activation domain									
P1 - - - - ++ -		P1	HC-Pro	Р3	6K1	CI	6K2	VPg	NIaPro	NIb	СР
HC-Pro - ++ - </td <td>P1</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>++</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td>	P1	-	_	-	-	-	-	++	-	-	_
P3 - - - - + - + - 6K1 - - - - - - - - - CI - - - - - - - - - 6K2 - - - - - - - - - VPg ++ - + - + - - - + + - - NIaPro - - - + - - - - - - - Nib - - - - - - - - - - + + - - + + - - + + - - + + + - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + <t< td=""><td>HC-Pro</td><td>-</td><td>++</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	HC-Pro	-	++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6K1 -	Р3	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-
CI - - - - + + - - 6K2 - - - - - - - - - VPg ++ - + - + - - - - - NIaPro - - - + - - + - - Nib - - - - - - - - -	6K1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6K2 - + + - - + + - - +	CI	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
VPg ++ - + - ++ - - ++ NIaPro - - - + - - ++ - - ++ NIb - - - + - - + - - ++	6K2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIaPro – – – – – + – – + – – – – – – – – – –	VPg	++	-	+	-	+	-	+++	-	-	++
NIb +	NIaPro	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
	NIb	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>CP</u> ++ +++	СР	-	-	-	-	-	-	++	-	-	+++

Table 2. Protein-protein interaction matrix of PRSV-P based on YTHS

Colonies turned blue in 2 hrs (+++), 2–6 hrs (++) and 6–12 hrs (+). No colonies appeared on the selection medium after 5 days or colonies from transformant selection medium did not turn blue after 12 hrs (-).

of the empty pAD-GAL4-2.1 vector and the recombinant pBD-GAL4 Cam DNAs carrying viral genes was tested. The results suggested that all recombinant constructs were suitable for the detection of protein-protein interactions in YTHS.

Identification of protein-protein interactions of PRSV-P based on YTHS

A total of 100 individual interaction combinations between all 10 viral proteins of PRSV-P were evaluated in a pairwise matrix based on YTHS. As a result, 16 combinations (Table 2) were detected by screening of the yeast cotransformants on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His medium for up to 5 days at 30°C. Six pairs of interactions (VPg-P1, VPg-P3, VPg-CI, VPg-CP, NIaPro-CI, and NIb-P3) were detected, when these proteins were expressed as fused not only with BD and AD, but also with AD and BD, respectively. These results implied that the detected interactions could occur in both directions. In addition, 4 self-interactions for HC-Pro, VPg, NIaPro, and CP were observed. In the filter assay, each positive clone harboring interacting partner developed a visible blue color in different time period depending on the activation of reporter gene lacZ (Table 2). The color development correlated with β-galactosidase activity and therefore the intensity of color could represent the relative strengths of different interactions.

Discussion

In this study, all detected protein-protein interactions were symmetrical and dissimilar to the same interactions detected in other potyviruses such as PVA, PSbMV, SMV, SYSV, and Clover yellow vein virus (ClYVV) (Guo *et al.*, 2001; Yambao *et al.*, 2003; Lin *et al.*, 2009). For example, the interaction between P3 and NIb of SMV was detected only when both proteins were expressed in fusion with AD and BD, but the same interaction in SYSV was observed in the opposite direction (Lin *et al.*, 2009). The different directionality between some viral protein interactions suggested that the fused protein might have different protein folding or exposure of binding sites in both directions. However, it was suggested to consider such interaction examples with some caution (Guo *et al.*, 2001; Lin *et al.*, 2009).

Four self-interactions (HC-Pro, VPg, NIaPro, and CP) reported previously for PVA, PSbMV, SMV, SYSV, and ClYVV were also detected in this work (Guo et al., 2001; Yambao et al., 2003; Kang et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2009), but a self-interaction of the same viral protein had different level of intensity when compared to various potyviruses. In our hands, the CP-CP and VPg-VPg interactions were found as strong ones, while NIaPro self-interaction represented a weak one. The CP-CP interaction was suggested to have a significant role in the encapsidation of viral RNA (Kang et al., 2004) and VPg-VPg interaction might be involved in viral genomic RNA replication and translation (Urcuqui-Inchima et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2001; Yambao et al., 2003). The C-terminal region of VPg in ClYVV was proven as important for the VPg self-interaction (Yambao et al., 2003). In addition, a moderate self-interaction of HC-Pro was also detected in our experiments. Some previous studies concluded that N- and C-termini of PVA HC-Pro were required for a selfinteraction, while N-terminus was important for PVY and Lettuce mosaic virus HC-Pro self-interaction (Guo et al., 1999; Urcuqui-Inchima et al., 1999; Plisson et al., 2003).

Potyvirus VPg is linked to the 5' end of the viral genome (Urcuqui-Inchima *et al.*, 2001) and showed a wide range of

interactions with 4 viral proteins (P1, P3, CI, and CP) besides its self-interaction. These 4 interactions have not been reported previously for any potyvirus except for SYSV very recently (Lin et al., 2009). But in this study the interaction between VPg and P3 or CP did not show the same directionality as the same interaction in SYSV (Lin et al., 2009). Newly, VPg is considered as a multifunctional protein including its function as a virulence factor (Grzela et al., 2008). Natural plant resistance to the potyvirus infection may be due to the inability of VPg to interact with eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF4E (Wittmann et al., 1997; Grzela et al., 2006; Charron et al., 2008). Previous studies revealed that P1, P3, CI, and CP had various functions in the potyvirus infection (Urcuqui-Inchima et al., 2001). Therefore, VPg implication in multiple interactions with potyviral or host proteins might be essential for viral translation, replication and movement that contribute to the identification of multiple functions of VPg in the potyvirus life cycle.

A newly described interaction between NIaPro and CI proteins from SMV or SYSV was also observed (Lin *et al.*, 2009). NIaPro is a major proteinase responsible for processing of the potyviral polyprotein into functional products (Urcuqui-Inchima *et al.*, 2001). Amino acid Lys27 of NIaPro determines the host-specificity of PRSV-P and PRSV-W types (Roy *et al.*, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2008). The inability of PRSV-W to infect papaya may be due to its inability to carry out viral replication or movement in the host (Chen *et al.*, 2008). The CI protein forms the cylindrical inclusions typical of potyviral infection and is involved in the genome replication and virus movement (Gómez de Cedrón *et al.*, 2006; Gabrenaite-Verkhovskaya *et al.*, 2008). Hence, identification of the interaction between NIaPro and CI in the potyvirus infection process might be useful for the further research.

To our knowledge, this is the first report about the interactions involving 10 viral proteins of PRSV-P examined by YTHS matrix screen. Although the YTHS approach has some limitations and our findings have to be confirmed by other assays (Uetz et al., 2004; Suter et al., 2008), we believe that the observed protein interactions of PRSV-P can provide a platform for further study of viral protein functions. Transgenic papaya resistance to PRSV-P is generally considered as the best strategy for long-term virus control. However, different specificities of PRSV resistance were presented in transgenic papaya lines expressing different PRSV CP genes against PRSV isolates from different geographic origins. Moreover, the use of transgenic papaya lines is limited in other areas of the world, because of the strain-specific resistance (Chiang et al., 2001; Bau et al., 2003). Thus, our findings can serve as a basis for elucidation of the interaction relationship between virus and host and develop a new effective broad-spectrum strategy to control PRSV-P especially with the availability of papaya genome database that has been recently published (Ming et al., 2008).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the grant ITTBZD07-32 from the National Nonprofit Institute Research, by the grant 30760134 from the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China, Open Foundation of the Key Laboratory of Protection and Development Utilization of Tropical Crop Germplasm Resources (Hainan University), Ministry of Education of P.R. China.

References

- Atkin AL, Altmura N, Leeds P, Culbertson MR (1995): The majority of yeast UPF1 colocalizes with polyribosomes in the cytoplasm. Mol. Biol. Cell 6, 611–625.
- Bateson MF, Henderson J, Chaleeprom W, Gibbs AJ, Dale JL (1994): Papaya ringspot potyvirus: isolate variability and the origin of PRSV type P (Australia). J. Gen. Virol. 75, 3547–3553. doi:10.1099/0022-1317-75-12-3547
- Bau HJ, Cheng YH, Yu TA, Yang JS, Yeh SD (2003): Broadspectrum resistance to different geographic strains of papaya ringspot virus in coat protein gene transgenic papaya. Phytopathology 93, 112–120. <u>doi:10.1094/</u> <u>PHYTO.2003.93.1.112</u>
- Blanc S, López-Moya JJ, Wang R, García-Lampasona S, Thornbury DW, Pirone TP (1997): A specific interaction between coat protein and helper component correlates with aphid transmission of a potyvirus. Virology 231, 141–147. doi:10.1006/viro.1997.8521
- Blanc S, Ammar ED, Garcia-Lampasona S, Dolja VV, Llave C, Baker J, Pirone TP (1998): Mutations in the potyvirus helper component protein: effects on interactions with virions and aphid stylets. J. Gen. Virol. 79, 3119–3122.
- Chandrika UG, Jansz ER, Wickramasinghe SMDN, Wamasuriya ND (2003): Carotenoids in yellow- and red-fleshed papaya (Carica papaya L.). J. Sci. Food Agric. 83, 1279–1282. doi:10.1002/jsfa.1533
- Charron C, Nicolaï M, Gallois JL, Robaglia C, Moury B, Palloix A, Caranta C (2008): Natural variation and functional analyses provide evidence for co-evolution between plant eIF4E and potyviral VPg. Plant J .54, 56–68. <u>doi:10.1111/</u> j.1365-313X.2008.03407.x
- Chen KC, Chiang CH, Raja JA, Liu FL, Tai CH, Yeh SD (2008): A single amino acid of niapro of papaya ringspot virus determines host specificity for infection of papaya. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 21, 1046–1057. <u>doi:10.1094/</u> <u>MPMI-21-8-1046</u>
- Chiang CH, Wang JJ, Jan FJ, Yeh SD, Gonsalves D (2001): Comparative reactions of recombinant papaya ringspot viruses with chimeric coat protein (CP) genes and wild-type viruses on CP-transgenic papaya. J. Gen. Virol. 82, 2827–2836.
- Dougherty WG, Carrington JC (1988): Expression and function of potyviral gene products. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 26, 123–143. <u>doi:10.1146/annurev.py.26.090188.001011</u>
- Gabrenaite-Verkhovskaya R, Andreev IA, Kalinina NO, Torrance L, Taliansky ME, Mäkinen K (2008): Cylindrical inclusion protein of potato virus A is associated with a subpopulation of particles isolated from infected plants. J. Gen. Virol. 89, 829–838. <u>doi:10.1099/vir.0.83406-0</u>

- Gómez de Cedrón M, Osaba L, López L, García JA (2006): Genetic analysis of the function of the plum pox virus CI RNA helicase in virus movement. Virus Res. 116, 136–145. <u>doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2005.09.009</u>
- Gonsalves D, Ishii M (1980): Purification and serology of papaya ringspot virus. Phytopathology 70, 1028–1032. <u>doi:10.1094/Phyto-70-1028</u>
- Gonsalves D (1998): Control of papaya ringspot virus in papaya: a case study. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 36, 415–437. doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.36.1.415
- Grzela R, Strokovska L, Andrieu JP, Dublet B, Zagorski W, Chroboczek J (2006): Potyvirus terminal protein VPg, effector of host eukaryotic initiation factor eIF4E. Biochimie 88, 887–896. <u>doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2006.02.012</u>
- Grzela R, Szolajska E, Ebel C, Madern D, Favier A, Wojtal I, Zagorski W, Chroboczek J (2008): Virulence factor of potato virus Y, genome-attached terminal protein VPg, is a highly disordered protein. Biol. Chem. 283, 213–221.
- Guo D, Merits A, Saarma M (1999): Self-association and mapping of interaction domains of helper component-proteinase of potato A potyvirus. J. Gen. Virol. 80, 1127–1131.
- Guo D, Rajamäki ML,Saarma, M, Valkonen, JP (2001): Towards a protein interaction map of potyviruses: protein interaction matrixes of two potyviruses based on the yeast two-hybrid system. J. Gen. Virol. 82, 935–939.
- Kang SH, Lim WS, Kim KH (2004): A protein interaction map of soybean mosaic virus strain G7H based on the yeast two-hybrid system. Mol. Cells 18, 122–126.
- Lin L, Shi Y, Luo Z, Lu Y, Zheng H, Yan F, Chen J, Chen J, Adams MJ, Wu Y (2009): Protein-protein interactions in two potyviruses using the yeast two-hybrid system. Virus Res. 142, 36–40. <u>doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2009.01.006</u>
- Lu YW, Shen WT, Zhou P, Tang QJ, Niu YM, Peng M, Xiong Z (2008): Complete genomic sequence of a Papaya ringspot virus isolate from Hainan Island, China. Arch. Virol. 153, 991–993. doi:10.1007/s00705-008-0056-3
- Mangrauthia SK, Parameswari B, Jain RK, Praveen S (2008): Role of genetic recombination in the molecular architecture of Papaya ringspot virus. Biochem. Genet. 46, 835–846. <u>doi:10.1007/s10528-008-9198-y</u>
- Ming R, Hou S, Feng Y, Yu Q, Dionne-Laporte A, Saw JH, Senin P, Wang W, Ly BV, Lewis KL, Salzberg SL, Feng L, Jones MR, Skelton RL, Murray JE, Chen C, Qian W, Shen J, Du P, Eustice M, Tong E, Tang H, Lyons E, Paull RE, Michael TP, Wall K, Rice DW, Albert H, Wang ML, Zhu YJ, Schatz M, Nagarajan N, Acob RA, Guan P, Blas A, Wai CM, Ackerman CM, Ren Y, Liu C, Wang J, Wang J, Na JK, Shakirov EV, Haas B, Thimmapuram J, Nelson D, Wang X, Bowers JE, Gschwend AR, Delcher AL, Singh R, Suzuki JY, Tripathi S, Neupane K, Wei H, Irikura B, Paidi M, Jiang N, Zhang W, Presting G, Windsor A, Navajas-Pérez R, Torres MJ, Feltus FA, Porter B, Li Y, Burroughs AM, Luo MC, Liu L, Christopher DA, Mount SM, Moore PH, Sugimura T, Jiang J, Schuler MA, Friedman V, Mitchell-Olds T, Shippen DE, dePamphilis CW, Palmer JD, Freeling M, Paterson AH, Gonsalves D, Wang L, Alam M (2008):

The draft genome of the transgenic tropical fruit tree papaya (Carica papaya Linnaeus) Nature 452, 991–996. doi:10.1038/nature06856

- Plisson C, Drucker M, Blanc S, German-Retana S, Le Gall O, Thomas D, Bron P (2003): Structural characterization of HC-Pro, a plant virus multifunctional protein. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 23753–23761. <u>doi:10.1074/jbc.M302512200</u>
- Purcifull DE, Edwardson J, Hiebert EL, Gonsalves D (1984): Papaya ringspot virus. CMI/AAB Descr. PL. Viruses 292, 8.
- Roudet-Tavert G, German-Retana S, Delaunay T, Delécolle B, Candresse T, Le Gall O (2002):Interaction between potyvirus helper component-proteinase and capsid protein in infected plants. J. Gen. Virol. 83, 1765–1770.
- Roy G, Jain RK, Bhat AI, Varma A (1999): Comparative host range and serological studies of Papaya ringspot potyvirus isolates. Indian Phytopath. 62, 14–17.
- Suter B, Kittanakom S, Stagljar I (2008): Two-hybrid technologies in proteomics research. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 19, 316–323. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2008.06.005
- Tecson Mendoza EM, C Laurena A, Botella JR (2008): Recent advances in the development of transgenic papaya technology. Biotechnol. Annu. Rev. 14, 423–462. <u>doi:10.1016/</u> <u>\$1387-2656(08)00019-7</u>
- Tripathi S, Suzuki JY, Ferreira SA, Gonsalves D (2008): Papaya ringspot virus-P: characteristics, pathogenicity, sequence variability and control. Mol. Plant Pathol. 9, 269–280. doi:10.1111/j.1364-3703.2008.00467.x
- Uetz P, Rajagopala SV, Dong YA, Haas J (2004): From ORFeomes to protein interaction maps in viruses. Genome Res. 14, 2029–2033. <u>doi:10.1101/gr.2583304</u>
- Urcuqui-Inchima S, Walter J, Drugeon G, German-Retana S, Haenni AL, Candresse T, Bernardi F, Le Gall O (1999): Potyvirus helper component- proteinase self-interaction in the yeast two-hybrid system and delineation of the interaction domain involved. Virology 258, 95–99. doi:10.1006/viro.1999.9725
- Urcuqui-Inchima S, Haenni AL, Bernardi F (2001): Potyvirus proteins: a wealth of functions. Virus Res. 74, 157–175. doi:10.1016/S0168-1702(01)00220-9
- Whitham SA, Yang C, Goodin MM (2006): Global impact: elucidating plant responses to viral infection. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 19, 1207–1215. <u>doi:10.1094/MPMI-19-1207</u>
- Wittmann S, Chatel H, Fortin MG, Laliberté JF (1997): Interaction of the viral protein genome linked of turnip mosaic potyvirus with the translational eukaryotic initiation factor (iso) 4E of Arabidopsis thaliana using the yeast two-hybrid system. Virology 234, 84–92. doi:10.1006/viro.1997.8634
- Yambao ML, Masuta C, Nakahara K, Uyeda I (2003): The central and C-terminal domains of VPg of Clover yellow vein virus are important for VPg-HCPro and VPg-VPg interactions. J. Gen. Virol. 84, 2861–2869. <u>doi:10.1099/ vir.0.19312-0</u>
- Yeh SD, Jan FJ, Chiang CH, Doong TJ, Chen MC, Chung PH, Bau HJ (1992): Complete nucleotide sequence and genetic organization of Papaya ringspot virus RNA. J. Gen. Virol. 73, 2531–2541. doi:10.1099/0022-1317-73-10-2531